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## Outline

## Current status

- Legislation
- Impact of legislation on medicines expenditure


## Future

- Development of new medicine price models
- Way forward


## Current status - legislation

Maintained:

- Removal of bonuses, rebates \& discounts - manufacturers and retailers


## Repealed:

- Price regulations
- Awaiting decision by constitutional court


## Impact of pricing method - trends

12-month Moving Average Trend from 2003


## Medicine Claims - chronic

February - April 2004 versus February - April 2005

| Schemes | Expenditure (\%) | Cost per life (\%) | Cost per participant (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\downarrow 25.28$ | $\downarrow 15.14$ | $\downarrow 18.46$ |
| B | $\downarrow 5.47$ | $\downarrow 1.00$ | $\downarrow 8.06$ |
| C | $\downarrow 20.86$ | $\downarrow 23.53$ | $\downarrow 22.62$ |
| D | $\downarrow 24.28$ | $\downarrow 25.51$ | $\downarrow 22.21$ |
| E | $\downarrow 13.89$ | $\downarrow 8.88$ | $\downarrow 1.20$ |
| F | $\downarrow 19.42$ | $\downarrow 23.23$ | $\downarrow 23.82$ |
| G | $\downarrow 21.88$ | $\downarrow 20.25$ | $\downarrow 23.11$ |
| H | $\uparrow 1.00$ | $\uparrow 4.27$ | $\downarrow 27.08$ |

## Acute Medicine Claims

February - April 2004 versus February - April 2005

| Schemes | Expenditure (\%) | Cost per life (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\downarrow 21.31$ | $\downarrow 10.61$ |
| B | $\downarrow 2.45$ | $\uparrow 2.20$ |
| C | $\downarrow 14.35$ | $\downarrow 17.24$ |
| D | $\downarrow 27.11$ | $\downarrow 28.30$ |
| E | $\downarrow 21.65$ | $\downarrow 17.08$ |
| F | $\downarrow 22.01$ | $\downarrow 25.71$ |
| G | $\downarrow 15.98$ | $\downarrow 16.67$ |
| H | $\downarrow 19.58$ | $\downarrow 22.53$ |
| I | $\downarrow 21.51$ | $\downarrow 19.87$ |

## Analysis of change in medicine prices

# Compared BBC (14 Jan 2004) with SEP (26 July 2005) 

## Data set:

All claimed medicines - 2004

## Change in medicine prices

|  | Claimed Medicine |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Group | No. of Nappis | Percentage |
| Decreased | 3990 | 66,4 |
| Increased | 743 | 12,4 |
| No change | 1276 | 21,2 |

## Change in medicine prices

| Claimed Medicine Nappis |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | No. of Nappis | Average Percentage Difference |
| Overall | 6009 | -14,31 |
| Generic | 2159 | -24,7 |
| Original | 1037 | -9.0 |
| Branded | 2539 | -9,5 |

## Change in medicine prices



## Impact of pharmacy administration fee

## Data set:

Claimed medicines:
Nov - Dec 2004
Jan - May 2005
(SEP - Vat) < R 100 then R10 admin fee charged
or
$(S E P-V a t) \geq R 100$ then $((S E P-V a t)+6 \%)+$ Vat with maximum of R 50

## Cost-neutrality

| Period | Benefit | Admin fees | Total | SEP + 36\% | \% Var. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| November - <br> December 2004 | R 2758042 | $R 505802$ | $R 3263844$ | $R 3205384$ | $1,82 \%$ |
| January - May <br> 2005 | $R 7606491$ | $R 934357$ | $R 10540848$ | $R 11225603$ | $-6.50 \%$ |

## Impact on doctors' claims

Feb - April 2004 vs Feb - April 2005

| Schemes | Expenditure <br> (\%) | Cost per life (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\downarrow 62.29$ | $\downarrow 57.14$ |
| B | $\downarrow 52.75$ | $\downarrow 54.34$ |
| C | $\downarrow 62.20$ | $\downarrow 62.81$ |
| D | $\downarrow 47.79$ | $\downarrow 44.72$ |
| E | $\downarrow 58.80$ | $\downarrow 60.79$ |
| F | $\downarrow 62.23$ | $\downarrow 61.44$ |
| G |  | $\downarrow 56.90$ |

## Model Development

## Objectives

To determine the factors influencing the pricing model.

To determine the impact of medicine pricing models on medicine expenditure.

To develop new models.

## Ideal Model

- Tiered model.

Fixed professional fee - irrespective of schedule of drug or SEP.
$\%$ mark-up to cover shelf costs, expiring of stock, credit card charges etc.

Profitability accounted for.
Change in SEP over time should be taken into account in the overall cost.

Dispensing fee for doctors and a professional fee for pharmacists.

## Ideal Model (continued)

- Mark-up linked to SEP.
- Benefit design taken into account.

The fee represents the maximum allowable fee.

The fee covers additional costs such as broken bulk, containers fee, counseling fees etc.

PAF excluded from model

## Model Development

- List the influencing factors in order of importance (empiric decision)

Select the factors for inclusion in the model

Develop criteria for selection of model

## Factors Considered

- Validation of the 'mark-up price formula'.

Comparison of actual benefit versus calculated benefit.

Variable Pharmacy Network discounting
Validation of the broken bulk formula.
Evaluation of break-points for SEP Identification of outliers.

- Stratification of data set: doctors and pharmacists' claims, EDI or paper claims.


## Factors Considered (Continued)

- The Oncology medication was separately categorized - high costs.

Developed a discount matrix per scheme option to determine average discounts.

Using 6 models determined the impact of acute, chronic, self-medication - taking one factor into account at a time - trend analysis.

Product mix per scheme option.

## Model Selection Criteria

- Old pricing method as benchmark

Smooth break points
Decrease in medicines expenditure
Gross Profit margin \%

## Model Selection

- Compare total costs obtained for the basket of products to select model.
- Compare gross profit margin (\%) for each model.

Select the comparator model.
GP margin across the range of SEPs should be continuous - where breakpoints have been included in the model.

## Break points

| Break point | Lines | \%/ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0,00-29,99$ | 4907497 | 43,6 |
| $30,00-49,99$ | 1746316 | 15,5 |
| $50,00-69,99$ | 928246 | 8,24 |
| $70,00-89,99$ | 805205 | 7,15 |
| $90,00-99,99$ | 282734 | 2,51 |
| $100,00-119,99$ | 598910 | 5,32 |
| $120,00-139,99$ | 319737 | 2,84 |

## QuALSA

## Model 1: Mark-up pricing method

## Pharmacists' claims

Quantity dispensed = original pack size
Quantity dispensed = multiples of the original pack size
Selling Price =
[(Cost of original pack $x$ no. of original packs dispensed $x$ 1.5) dispensing fee $+26 c$ copy fee] - \% discount

## Mark-up pricing method (contd)

## Quantity dispensed < original pack size

Selling Price $=[1.1 \times$ (cost of number dispensed $\times 1.5$ ) $+$
dispensing fee + container fee + 26c copy fee] - \% discount

## Mark-up pricing method (contd)

## Quantity dispensed > original pack size

Selling Price $=\{[1.5 \times$ (number of original packs dispensed)
$x$ (cost price of original pack) + [1.1 $x$ (cost price of $\boldsymbol{z} \times 1.5$ )]

+ dispensing fee + container fee + 26c copy fee\} - \% discount

$$
\mathbf{z} \text { = quantity dispensed - original pack size }
$$

$\mathbf{z}=$ number dispensed - (original pack size $\times$ number of original packs)

## Mark-up pricing method (contd)

## Doctors' claims

> Chronic medication $=($ cost of number dispensed X 1.5) $20 \%$

All other medication = cost of number dispensed X 1.5

## Current pricing method

## Pharmacies

Prescription:
$<$ R100
\{[SEP - (vat on SEP $)]+(26 \%$ of SEP $))+$ vat $\}$
$\geq$ R100
\{[SEP - (vat on SEP)] + R26 + vat\}
Self-medication:
$<$ R100
\{[SEP - (vat on SEP $)]+(16 \%$ of SEP $)+$ vat $\}$
$\geq$ R100.
\{[SEP - (vat on SEP)] + R16 + vat\}

## Current pricing method

## Doctors

<R100
$\{[(S E P-(v a t ~ o n ~ S E P)]+(16 \% ~ o f ~ S E P))+$ vat $\}$
$\geq$ R100
\{[SEP - (vat on SEP)] + R16 + vat\}

## COMPARISON OF MODELS

## Data:

- Claimed medicines for 2004
- BBC at 14 January 2004 - for mark-up pricing model only
- SEP at 26 July 2005


## Gross Profit Margin (Old pricing)

## Realistic scenario

22\% discount - chronic meds
9\% discount - acute meds
$10 \%$ wholesaler discount
$37 \%$ GP

## Worst scenario

$30 \%$ discount - chronic medicines
9\% discount - acute meds
$10 \%$ wholesalers discount 28\% GP

## Results

## Model selection

| Model <br> Number | Expenditure | \% GP | Continuity | Selection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R 1209777476 | $(24) 38$ | N/A |  |
| 2 | R 1104633392 | 36 | Yes -fixed |  |
| 3 | R 960445381 | 17 | Acceptable |  |
| 4 | R 1203892432 | 46 | Yes |  |
| 5 | R 1 039 769 265 | 28 | No |  |
| 6 | R 967499261 | 18 | No |  |
| 7 | R 1220769532 | 50 | Acceptable |  |
| 8 | R 989583785 | 20 | No |  |
| 9 | R 1 160 078 270 | 43 | Yes - fixed |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Results (Continued)

| Model <br> Number | Expenditure | \% GP | Continuity | Selection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | R 1051337270 | 28 | No |  |
| 11 | R 1059504854 | 29 | Yes | OK |
| 12 | R 1185017946 | 44 | No |  |
| 13 | R 1061796741 | 31 | No |  |
| 14 | R 986892817 | 20 | No |  |
| 15 | R 1138510994 | 38 | Yes |  |
| 16 | R 1137995856 | 38 | Yes |  |
| 17 | R 1132184775 | 38 | Yes |  |
| 18 | R 1054961240 | 28 | Yes | OK |

## Results (Continued)

| Model <br> Number | Expenditure | \% GP | Continuity | Selection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | R 1 139 255 424 | 39 | NO |  |
| 20 | R 1032061117 | 25 | YES | OK |
| 21 | R 1048039116 | 27 | YES | OK |
| 22 | R 993706163 | 21 | YES |  |
| 23 | R 1023579322 | 24 | NO |  |
| 24 | R 1013539707 | 23 | YES | OK |
| 25 | R 1051355201 | 28 | YES | OK |
| 26 | R 1301998535 | 49 | YES |  |
| 27 | R 1148420252 | 29 | YES | OK |

## Model 3

$($ GP margin $=17 \%)$

| SEP | $<$ R100 | $>$ R100 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Pharmacists | $26 \%$ | R 26 |
| Doctors | $16 \%$ | R16 |



## Model 4

(GP margin $=46 \%$ )

| SEP | <R 50 | R50.01 - R120 | R120.01 - R200 | >R 200 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ADD | R5.5 | R12.5 | R30 | R40 |
| Mark-up\% | $50 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $15 \%$ |



## Model 5

(GP margin $=28 \%$ )

| SEP | <R 50 | R50.01 - R120 | R120.01 - R200 | > R 200 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \% Mark-up | 0 | 0 | $5 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Fixed fee | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $26 \%$ |



## Model 5



## Model 6

(GP margin $=18 \%$ )

| SEP | <R 50 | R50.01 - R120 | R120.01 - R200 | >R 200 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \% Mark-up | 0 | 0 | $5 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Fixed fee | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ capped at <br> R16 | $26 \%$ capped at <br> R26 | 26\% capped at <br> R26 |



## Model 8

(GP margin \% = 20\%)

| SEP | $<$ R 131.99 | $>R 132$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dispensing fee | $31 \%$ | R 31 |



## Model 8



## Model 12

(GP margin $=44 \%$ )

| SEP | R $0.01-R$ <br> 50 | R $50.01-R$ <br> 100 | R $100.01-R$ <br> 150 | $>$ R 150 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \% Mark- <br> up | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Fixed fee | R 29 | R 29 | R 29 | R 29 |



## Professional Fee Calculation

- R 350 per hour

Dispense 6 scripts per hour (10 minutes per Rx)

R 58 per Rx
2 items per Rx
R 29 professional fee per item

## Model 18

(GP margin = 28\%)

Products < R 165,00 add 36\%
Products $\geq$ R 165 capped at R 59,40


## Model 20

(GP margin \% = 25\%)

| SEP | <R 50 | R50.01 - R100 | $>$ R 100 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \% Mark-up | Add R3 then 25\% | $30 \%$ | Add R20 then $10 \%$ |



## Model 25

(GP margin $=28 \%$ )

| SEP | <R100 | >R 100 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \% Mark-up | Add 3\% holding cost then 36\% | Add 5\% holding cost then <br> R36 |



## Model 27

 (GP margin = 29\%)| SEP | <R150 | R150.01 - R250 | $>$ R 250 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dispensing <br> fee | $28 \%$ | $28 \%$ capped to <br> $R 42,00$ | $42 \%$ of amount $>$ <br> $R 250+R 42,00$ |



## Summary

- Awaiting decision by constitutional court
- Acute and chronic medicines costs have significantly decreased.
- $66 \%$ of medicines costs have decreased.
- Overall decrease in medicine costs of 14 \%.
- Doctors' claims have significantly reduced.
- A new medicine pricing model has been developed for consideration.


## Way forward

- Fine tuning of the models
- Determine GP margin in small intervals by means of weighting method
- All new models should be scientifically validated
- Response from DOH required
- Discussion with stakeholders to gain buy-in
- A different approach may be required in phase 2 of benchmarking of drugs
- Develop method for ongoing monitoring


## Way forward

- Implement interim pricing model
- Profitability from a pharmacy perspective should be analysed using overhead expenses etc.
- Evaluate profitability for the entire medicine supply chain
- Close loop holes e.g. disguising discounts in the logistics fee
- Implement pharmacoeconomic principles in the decision of acceptable SEPs


## Thank You!

